Von Thrombose zu Fibrose Irene M Lang Medical University of Vienna, Austria Irene.lang@meduniwien.ac.at

THROMBOSE FORUM2019

Venöser Thromboembolismus



International CTEPH Registry. Pepke-Zaba J Circulation 2011;124:1973–81.

Thrombose





Adapted from Medmovie.com

Von der Thrombose zur Fibrose



Acute PE



Fibrotisches vasculäres Remodeling





Fläche: 2.58mm² Mittlerer Durchmesser: 1.79 mm Min: 1.39 ----- March 2.26 ----- 07.11.2017 12:49:57 0001

WHO Klassifikation

WHO group	Description
Group 1	Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH)
Group 2	Pulmonary hypertension (PH) with left heart disease
Group 3	PH associated with lung diseases and/or hypoxemia
Group 4	Chronic thromboembolic PH (CTEPH) and other pulmonary vascular obstructions
Group 5	Unclear or multifactorial mechanisms

Wird aus einer PE CTEPH?



Wer kriegt CTEPH?

Associated condition	Odds Ratios		
VA shunt/infected leads ^{1, 2}	13 00 [2 5-129] and 76 4 [7 67-10350 62]		
Splenectomy 1, 2, 3	13.00 [2.7-127] and 17.87 [1.56-2438]		
Recurrent VTE ¹	14.4 [5.40-43.08]		
Thyroid replacement therapy ¹	6.1 [2.73-15.05]		
Previous VTE ¹	4.52 [2.35-9.12]		
Antiphospholipid antibodies /LA ¹	4.20 [1.56-12.21]		
Survived cancer ¹	3.76 [1.47-10.43]		
Inflammatory bowel disease 1, 2	3.19 [0.74-16.03]		
Blood groups non-0 ^{1,4}	2.09 [1.12-3.94]		
Fibrinogen Aα Thr312Ala polymorphism ⁵	1.68 [1.13-2.49]		
HLA-B*5201 (Japan) ⁶	2.14 [1.29-3.55]		
HLA-DPB1*0202 (Japan) ⁶	3.41 [1.71-6.74]		

1 Bonderman D and Lang IM, et al. Eur Respir J. 2009; 33: 325-3, 2 Bonderman D et al Thromb Haemost. 2005;93:512-516, 3 Jais et al Thorax. 2005;60:1031-1034, 4 Bonderman D et al Thromb Haemost. 2003;90:372-376, 5 Suntharalingam J et al. Eur Respir J. 2008;31:736-741, 6 Tanabe N et al Eur Respir J. 2005;25:131-138.

CTEPH

All other complications y vs n NYHA IV vs. I-II Persistent pulmonary hypertension y vs n	Reduced Risk	
Pulmonary reperfusion oedema y vs n		
Pulmonary reperfusion oedema y vs n Inflammatory conditions y vs n Additional cardiac procedures y vs n History of cancer y vs n Any PH specific treatment at any time y vs n Gender male vs female Any PH specific treatment at diagnosis y vs n PH specific treatment before PEA y vs n PVR at end ICU /300 dyne*sec/cm^5 Coronary disease / myocard. infarction y vs n NYHA III vs. I-II Mean right atrial pressure /6 mmHg Age /10 years PVR /300 dyne*sec/cm^5 Pulmonary wedge pressure /6 mmHg Mean pulmonary atrial pressure /10 mmHg Vena cava filter/clip (VTE or PEA) y vs n Time diag. PE to PEA /6 months Time PH sympt. to CTEPH diag. /6 months Duration of circulatory arrest /20 min Number of PEA performed in center /10 PEA Change in PVR /300 dyne*sec/cm^5 Smoking y vs n Thrombophilic disorder y vs n Blood group 0 vs non-0 DLCO/VA /10 % of predicted Lesions pulm. angiography lobar vs segment.PA Site experience >50 vs. 1-10 PEA/year Vena cava filter/clip (acute VTE) y vs n FEV1 /1 L/sec Lesions pulm. angiography main vs segment.PA 6 minute walk test /100 m Body Mass Index /10 kg/m^2 Perfusion scan total vs subsegment. defect Cardiac index /1 L/min/m^2 Clinical history of acute VTE y vs n Site experience 11-50 vs. 1-10 PEA/year COPD y vs n Perfusion scan segmental vs subsegment.defect	Increased Risk	
1/16	1/8 1/4 1/2 1 2 4 8 16	

Ko-morbiditäten und Outcome



CTEPH in Europa und Japan



CTEPH weltweit

Parameters	Japanese Registry ¹²	International Registry 5	UC San Diego PEA Registry
Number of patients (n)	519	679	2700
Gender, % male	28.1	50.1	49.7
Age, years	67 [53;75]*	63 [51;72]*	52 [40;63]* (8-88)†
World Health Organization class, % I/II/III/IV	5.2/41.9/47.7/5.2	0.7/17.8/68.6/12.8	1.5/9.7/80.3/8.6
History of deep vein thrombosis, %	50.4	56.1	49.2
History of acute pulmonary embolism, %	37.2	74.8	70.6
Coagulopathies, %	11.7	31.9	30.1
Mean pulmonary arterial pressure, mmHg, median	38 [33;46]*	47 [38;55]*	46 [38;53]*
Pulmonary vascular resistance, dynes.s/cm ⁵ , median	621 [439;916]*	709 [480;988]*	814 [476;1018]*
Pulmonary endarterectomy, %	13.9	56.8	100
Inferior vena cava filter, %	26.9	12.4	>90
PAH-targeted therapy, %	52.2	37.9 ‡	37.0 ‡

Loci achieve genome wide significance



1457 Caucasian CTEPH patients were enrolled from 10 European and US Centers and compared to 1536 healthy Caucasian controls from the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium.

Blut Gruppen nicht-O und CTEPH

	country	FR	GB	DE	PL	AU	BE
Blood Group							
Α	51.8	37.0	35.0	37.0	32.0	30.0	34.0
В	16.1	9.0	8.0	9.0	15.0	12.0	8.5
AB	8.2	3.0	3.0	4.0	7.0	6.0	4.1
0	24.0	36.0	37.4	35.0	31.0	33.0	38.0
Rhesus							
pos	81.1	85.0	82.0	82.0	85.0	81.0	84.6
neg	19.9	15.0	17.0	15.0	15.0	19.0	15.3

Faktor VIII



ADAMTS13 and VWF antigen concentration

Figures



- ADAMTS13 antigen levels were decreased in CTEPH patients (0.889 ± 0.397µg/mL; p<0.001) compared to healthy controls (1.15 ± 0.300µg/mL)
- ADAMTS13 was also reduced in CTED (0.831 ± 0.224µg/ml, p<0.001) but levels were similar to CTEPH (p=0.205)
- No difference in ADAMTS13 levels between IPAH (1.12 ± 0.413µg/mL; p=0.373) and healthy controls

Published range for healthy ADAMTS13 antigen levels: 0.740 – 1.420µg/ml with a median of 1.080µg/ml!

CTEPH Fibrinogen ist lyse-resistent



Pathophysiologie



Galiè N et al., *Eur Heart J* 2016; 37:67-119; Lang IM et al., *Eur Respir J* 2013; 41:462-468; Wilkens H [...] Lankeit M et al., *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 2016; 141:S62-S69

CRP, D-Dimer und CTEPH





Thrombolyse kann CTEPH nicht verhindern



Inflammatory drivers of vascular fibrosis



THROMBOSE

FORUM2019

CTEPH Diagnose

Imaging criteria

- Invasively measured mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP) ≥25mmHg
- On V/Q at least one larger defect (at least~1/3 of a segment)
- Typical vascular changes on CT or conventional PA angiography
- At least 3 months of effective anticoagulation

Lungengefässerkrankungen



Normal





Symptoms



Type of symptom	iPAH n=158		CTEPH n=436	
	n	%	n	%
number of patients with available data	158	100.0	436	100.0
Dyspnea	157	99.4	431	98.9
Edema	43	27.2	176	40.4
Fatigue	25	15.8	103	23.6
Dizziness	27	17.1	23	5.3
Chest pain	31	19.6	60	13.8
Hemoptysis	1	0.6	21	4.6

Acute pulmonary embolism versus CTEPH

Acute PE





Diagnosis



European Heart Journal (2014):doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehu283

Guidelines

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level⁵
In PE survivors with exercise dyspnoea, CTEPH should be considered.	lla	С
Life-long anticoagulation is recommended in all patients with CTEPH.	I	С
It is recommended that in all patients with CTEPH the assessment of operability and decisions regarding other treatment strategies be made by a multidisciplinary team of experts.	I	С
Surgical PEA in deep hypothermia circulatory arrest is recommended for patients with CTEPH.	T	С
Riociguat is recommended in symptomatic patients who have been classified as having persistent/recurrent CTEPH after surgical treatment, or inoperable CTEPH, by a CTEPH team including at least one experienced PEA surgeon.	I	В
Off-label use of drugs approved for PAH may be considered in symptomatic patients who have been classified as having persistent/ recurrent CTEPH after surgical treatment, or inoperable CTEPH by a CTEPH team including at least one experienced PEA surgeon.	IIb	В
Interventional BPA may be considered in patients who are technically non-operable, or carry an unfavourable risk-benefit ratio for PEA.	llb	С
Screening for CTEPH in asymptomatic survivors of PE is currently not recommended.	ш	С





Lang IM and Madani M. *Circulation*. 2014 Aug 5;130(6):508-18. Galiè N *et al. Eur Heart J* 2015:doi:10.1093/eurheart/ehv317.

BPA, balloon pulmonary angioplasty.

Der "operable" Patient



Pulmonale (Thrombo)-endarterektomie (PEA)



Illustration courtesy of Hotten, M. Thesis, Master Scientific Illustration, 2016., and Nick Kim, MD.

Chirurgische Klassifikation



Kaplan–Meier survival estimates of operated and non-operated patients





Cl, cardiac index; PAP, pulmonary artery pressure; PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance.

Delcroix M et al. Circulation 2016 Mar 1;133(9):859-71.

Simonneau G et al. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013;187:A5365.

The European CTEPH Registry





Wenigstens 50% aller CTEPH Patienten werden nicht operiert!

Pepke-Zaba J et al. Circulation. 2011 Nov 1;124(18):1973-81

Der "nicht-operable" Patient



Guidelines

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level⁵
In PE survivors with exercise dyspnoea, CTEPH should be considered.	lla	С
Life-long anticoagulation is recommended in all patients with CTEPH.	I.	С
It is recommended that in all patients with CTEPH the assessment of operability and decisions regarding other treatment strategies be made by a multidisciplinary team of experts.	I	С
Surgical PEA in deep hypothermia circulatory arrest is recommended for patients with CTEPH.	I	С
Riociguat is recommended in symptomatic patients who have been classified as having persistent/recurrent CTEPH after surgical treatment, or inoperable CTEPH, by a CTEPH team including at least one experienced PEA surgeon.	I	В
Off-label use of drugs approved for PAH may be considered in symptomatic patients who have been classified as having persistent/ recurrent CTEPH after surgical treatment, or inoperable CTEPH by a CTEPH team including at least one experienced PEA surgeon.	IIb	В
Interventional BPA may be considered in patients who are technically non-operable, or carry an unfavourable risk-benefit ratio for PEA.	llb	С
Screening for CTEPH in asymptomatic survivors of PE is currently not recommended.	ш	С

Balloon Pulmonary Angioplasty (BPA)

Before BPA

PAP (mmHg)

50

0

After BPA

3 months later



Nagayoshi S et al. EuroIntervention. 2016 Dec 10;12(11):e1435.

Das Prinzip von BPA



Balloon Angioplastie der Pulmonalarterien in Europa

Vear	First author, [ref.]	Country	Patient number	Procedures	Complications (%)	In-hospital death rate (%)	Follow-up Period (months)	Mean PAP (mmHg)	
real		country						Baseline	Effect
2001	Feinstein [1]	USA	18	48	61 ^a	5.6	34	42.0±12.0	-9.0
2013	Andreasen [2]	Norway	20	73	35.0 ^a	10.0	51	45.0±11.0	-12.0
2015	KURZYNA [3]	Poland	20	37	10 ^a	10	-	58±6.0	-17.0
2016	Roik [4]	Poland	9	27	5.5 ^a	0	5	40	-5.4
2017	Olsson [5]	Germany	56	266	9.4 ^a	1.8	24	40.0±12.0	-7.0
2017	Wiedenroth [6]	Germany	36/123	195	13.8	0	6	43.0 ± 12.0	-9.0
2018	Wiedenroth [7]	Germany	10	35	2.9	0	6	21.0 ± 2.0	-0
2018	Okayama	Japan	373	2163	4.5 ^b	1.8	28.8	41.8±11.7	-20.7

[1]: Circulation 2001; 103: 10–13. [2]: Heart 2013; 99: 1415–1420. [3]: Postepy Kardiol Interwencyjnej 2015; 11: 1–4.

[4]: Int J Cardiol 2016; 203: 228–235. [5]: Eur Respir J. 2017; 8;49(6). [6]: Pulm Circ. 2018 Jul-Sep;8(3):2045894018783996. [7]: Pulm Circ. 2018 Jan-Mar;8(1):2045893217753122

a: Reperfuison pulmonary edema, b: Severe lung injury

Fall

DSA pulmonary angiography



1st BPA session: right A10



DSA pulmonary angiography after 3 BPAs



Summary

	baseline	after 3 BPAs
WHO FC	II	I
mPAP – mmHg	36	22
PVR – WU	5.4	2.3
6-MWD – m	500	697
Nt-proBNP – pg/mL	763.1	78.5

BPA-Komplikationen

	Diagnositic Criteria	Total	First 128 Sessions	Most Recent 127 Sessions	<i>P</i> Value
Reperfusion pulmonary	Hemo sputum	40	27	13	
injury	Chest X-ray or desaturation	36	19	17	
	Chest CT only	145	82	63	
	Total	221	128	93	<0.01
Pulmonary artery perforation		5	4	1	1.00



International BPA Registry





Von Thrombose zu Fibrose

- CTEPH ist eine thromboembolische Erkankung
- Das Link zwischen akuter Pulmonalembolie und CTEPH ist Entzündung
- CTEPH Phänotypen sind
 - Thrombotic versus Inflammatory
 - Proximal versus Distal
 - Western versus Eastern

Lebenslange Antikoagulation mit VKA ist Standard, die Rolle der NOACS ist ungesichert

≻Als Therapien stehen zu Verfügung

- ➢Pulmonale Endarterektomie
- ≻Ballonangioplastie der Lungenarterien
- ≻Medikamentöse Therapie mit Riociguat
- ≻Riociguat erhöht den CO um 20% des Ausgangswerts

≻BPA senkt den Mitteldruck um 20% des Ausgangswerts

Danke!







